

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vinginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/067,347	02/07/2002	Koichiro Kishima	SON-2363	4610	
23353	7590 08/14/2003				
RADER FISHMAN & GRAUER PLLC			EXAMINER		
LION BUIL 1233 20TH	DING STREET N.W., SUITE :	501	PAK, SI	UNG H	
WASHING	FON, DC 20036		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2874		
	•		DATE MAILED: 08/14/2003	DATE MAILED: 08/14/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary    Application No.   10/087,347   KISHIMA, KOICHIRO     Examiner   Sung H. Pak   2874     2874   2874     Period for Reply     A HONTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM     THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION     Step St. (1) MONTH'S from the realing date of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address -     Period for reply is specified above, the maximum statistical yellow with the statistical yellow in the provided of the communication of 3 CFR 1 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be limitly filled into the propriets of the statistical provided of the communication. If the period for reply specified shows, the maximum statistical period will apply and will explain a specified of the specified shows. The maximum statistical period will apply and will explain a specified of the specified shows (b) Month's from the realing date of the communication, even if timely filled, may reduce a my searched by the Office alter then three emoling date of the communication, even if timely filled, may reduce a my searched plain of the later than three months after the malining date of the communication, even if timely filled, may reduce a my search term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1 704(b).  Status  1)			4	C	H			
Examiner   Sung H. Pak   2874	,	•	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Sung H. Pak  — The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address —  Period for Reply  A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Extensions of time may be mailined above is 8s than thir (50) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thinty (30) days and will supplie be conditioned timely. If the product for reply specified above, he maximum statutory period will apply and will supplie be conditioned timely.  If NO period for reply is specified above, he maximum statutory period will apply and will supplie be conditioned timely.  If NO period for reply is specified above, he maximum statutory period will apply and will supplie be conditioned timely.  If NO period for reply is specified above, he maximum statutory period will apply and will supplie be conditioned to the condition of the condition of the condition and the communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any examed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status  1)			10/067,347	KISHIMA, KOICHIRO				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address → Period for Repty  A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 GR 1136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be limely filed  Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 GR 1136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be limely filed  If NO period for reply specified above, he maximum statutiony priod will apply and will expire SIX (b) IMONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  If NO period for reply specified above, he maximum statutiony priod will apply and will expire SIX (b) IMONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  Failune to perly within the safe very event plant (b) Imon the safe of the communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any supply received by the Office later than replantment.  Status      Responsive to communication(s) filed on   2a    This action is FINAL.   2b    This action is non-final.  3    Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.    Disposition of Claims   1-49 is/are pending in the application.   4a    Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5b  Claim(s)   1-49 is/are allowed.  6c  C		Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	_			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely fitted after St x (b) MONTH's from the mailing date of this communication.  If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the staketory minimum of thirty (30) days, will be considered timely.  If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the staketory minimum of thirty (30) days, will be considered timely.  If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the staketory minimum of thirty (30) days, will be considered timely.  If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the staketory minimum of thirty (30) days, will be considered timely.  If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the staketory minimum of thirty (30) days, will be considered timely.  If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the staketory minimum of thirty (30) days, will be considered timely.  Any reply received by the Office later than these months after the mailing date of this communication, and the period of the staketory is considered to this communication, and reply staketory is considered to this communication, even if timely filled, may reduce any earned part the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filled, may reduce any earned part the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filled, may reduce any earned part the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filled, may reduce any earned part the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filled, may reduce any earned part the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filled, may reduce any expense of the minimal fill and the second part the second part the second pa								
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Extensions of time may be waitable under the provisions of 3 CFR 1.13(e). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filled after SIX (6) MCWTNS from the mailing date of this communication.  If NO period or reply is splant did above, the mailing date of this communication.  Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  Any reply received by the Office last than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filled, may reduce any canned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.794(b).  Status  1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on			ears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address				
THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be wealible under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.138(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (b) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply is specified above is test than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above is test than thirty (30) days, a reply within the simple date of this communication.  - Frallure to reply within the sort or extended position comply with 1 graduate and provided the specified apply and will expire SIX (b) MONTHS (CR) days will be considered timely.  - Frallure to reply within the sort or extended position comply with 1 graduate and provided and specified apply and will expire SIX (b) MONTHS (CR) days will be considered timely.  - Frallure to reply within the sort or extended position or plant by the statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (b) MONTHS (CR) days will be considered timely.  - Frallure to reply within the control or extended provided and supply and will expire six (c) MONTHS (CR) days will be considered timely.  - Frallure to reply within the supply within the supplication and provided and supply and will expire a statutory and the statutory period will apply and will expire a statutory and the statutory days and the statutory and the statut		* *	/ IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MON	JTH(S) FROM				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on  2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.  3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4) Claim(s) 1-49 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) Claim(s) 1-49 is/are rejected.  7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.  If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No	THE N - Exten after S - If the - If NO - Failur - Any re earne	MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, apply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (3 rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH cause the application to become ABAN	v be timely filed  0) days will be considered timely.  S from the mailing date of this communication.  DONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
2a) This action is FINAL.  2b) This action is non-final.  3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4) Claim(s) 1-49 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.  If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	_	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	<u> </u>					
3)  Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4)  Claim(s)	·							
Disposition of Claims  4) ☐ Claim(s) is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) ☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected.  7) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.  If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) ☒ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	· —	Since this application is in condition for allowards accordance with the practice under	ince except for formal matte	rs, prosecution as to the merits is 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) □ Claim(s) is/are rejected.  7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) □ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  11) □ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) □ approved b) □ disapproved by the Examiner.  If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  12) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13) ☑ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) ☑ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of:  1. ☑ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Dispositi			·				
5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-49 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.  If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) ☒ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-49 is/are pending in the application						
6) Claim(s) 1-49 is/are rejected.  7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.  If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	•	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrav	vn from consideration.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.  If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.  If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-49</u> is/are rejected.						
Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.  If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.  If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).			r election requirement.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.  If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	9)□ -	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.  If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) ☒ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	10)[] 7	Fhe drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accep	oted or b) objected to by the	Examiner.				
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).								
12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) ☒ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	11) 🔲 🗆	The proposed drawing correction filed on	_is: a)  approved b)  disa	approved by the Examiner.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13)			-					
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	,	•	aminer.					
<ul> <li>a) ☒ AII b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:</li> <li>1. ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> </ul>	•							
<ol> <li>Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> </ol>	•	_	n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 1	119(a)-(d) or (f).				
<ul> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> </ul>	a)[	<del>_</del> ,						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).								
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).								
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.	* S	application from the International Bu	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).								
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.	a	) $\square$ The translation of the foreign language pro	visional application has bee	n received.				
Attachment(s)			o priority under do d.o.d. g	3 3 milai 9. 12011				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	1) Notice 2) Notice	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	5) Notice of Info					

Application/Control Number: 10/067,347

Art Unit: 2874

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### **Priority**

Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-8, 12, 14, 16-21, 23-24, 26, 28-33, 35-40, 42-49 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Knapp et al (US 5,768,456).

Knapp et al reference discloses an optical device with all the limitations set forth in the claims, including: a light outgoing member ("117" Fig. 4), having an array of a plurality of light outgoing portions (column 3 lines 1-6), for allowing light to go out of each of said plurality of light outgoing portions; a light incoming member having a plurality of light incoming portions arrayed in such a manner as to correspond to said light outgoing portions ("114, 115" Fig. 4); and an optical lens array ("140" Fig. 4) having a lens substrate ("142" Fig. 4) made from an optical material and a plurality of optical lens portions arrayed on said lens substrate in such a manner as to correspond to said light outgoing portions; wherein a light ray, which has gone out of each of said light outgoing portions of said light outgoing member, is coupled to the corresponding one

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 10/067,347

Art Unit: 2874

said light incoming portions of said light incoming member by said optical lens array (Fig. 4); wherein the light incoming member is an array of plurality of optical fibers; wherein the light outgoing member is a light emitting device array; wherein the light emitting portions, optical fibers, optical lens portions are arrayed in lines (Fig. 5); wherein the light emitting device array may be a semiconductor laser array (column 2 lines 58-67); wherein each of the optical lens portions of the optical lens array is formed into a convex shape on the lens substrate (Fig. 4).

Regarding claims 42-49, applicant is claiming the product including the process of making the optical coupling device, and therefor are of "product-by-process" nature. The courts have been holding for quite some time that: the determination of the patentability of product-by-process claim is based on the product itself rather than on the process by which the product is made. In re Thrope, 777 F.2d 695, 227 USPQ 964 (Fed. Cir. 1985); and patentability of claim to a product does not rest merely on a difference in the method by which that product is made. Rather, it is the product itself which must be new and unobvious. Applicant has chosen to claim the invention in the product form. Thus a prior art product which possesses the claimed product characteristics can anticipate or render obvious the claim subject matter regardless of the manner in which it is fabricated. A rejection based on 35 U.S.C. section 102 is, therefore, proper.

Application/Control Number: 10/067,347 Page 4

Art Unit: 2874

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 9-11, 22, 34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Knapp et al (US 5,768,456).

Knapp et al reference discloses an optical device with all the limitations set forth in the claims as discussed above, except it does not explicitly teach the use of two-dimensionally arrayed light emitting portions, optical fibers and optical lens. However, two-dimensional arrangement of light emitting devices, optical fibers, and coupler lens is well known and commonly used in the art. Such an arrangement provides a well-known advantage of allowing for a high-density packaging of optical communication devices. Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Knapp et al device to have two-dimensional arrangement of light emitting devices, optical fibers, and optical lens.

Claims 13, 25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Knapp et al (US 5,768,456) in view of Althaus et al (US 6,434,297).

Knapp et al reference discloses an optical device with all the limitations set forth in the claims as discussed above, except it does not teach the use of light absorber formed on the lens substrate.

Application/Control Number: 10/067,347

Art Unit: 2874

Althaus et al reference, on the other hand, explicitly teaches the use of a light absorber material formed on the lens substrate in order to block portion of the light beam emitted by the light emitting device before it gets coupled to the fiber (Fig. 1). Althaus et al teach that such an arrangement is advantageous because it effectively blocks of the periphery light and increases light coupling efficiency. Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Knapp et al device to have light absorbing material disposed on the lens substrate.

Claims 15, 27, 41 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Knapp et al (US 5,768,456) in view of Rastani (US 5,073,041).

Knapp et al reference discloses an optical device with all the limitations set forth in the claims as discussed above, except it does not teach the use of a groove formed at the boundary between each optical lens. However, grooves being formed on the lens substrate between each individual lens are known in the art.

Rastani reference explicitly teaches such grooves ("37" in Fig. 3). Rastani teaches that Fresnel microlenses, which contains grooves between the lenses, are advantageous because it allows for a rugged and reliable lens assembly that focuses or collimates light beams into the fiber (column 1 lines 44-55). Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Knapp et al device to have Fresnel microlense which contain grooves between the lenses.

Application/Control Number: 10/067,347

Art Unit: 2874

#### **Conclusion**

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Kato et al (US 5,859,945), Robertson et al (US 5,857,042), Kravitz et al (US 5,790,730), and JP 58-171014 disclose array of light emitting devices optically coupled with array of optical fibers via optical microlenses arrays.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sung H. Pak whose telephone number is (703) 308-4880. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Thursday: 6:30am-5:00pm.

The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 308-7724 for regular communications and (703) 308-7724 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

Sung H. Pak Examiner Art Unit 2874

sp August 5, 2003

HEMANG SANGHAVI PRIMARY EXAMINER